

Dear Mr Burman

I received the attached briefing note from you on July 30th. On August 1st and several occasions following that date, I emailed the questions listed below to Councillor Lay. For reasons I can only speculate upon, I have yet to receive answers from her. So, I am now copying all my questions to your original email distribution list and am asking you to provide the answers. Also attached is my assessment of the justification thus far provided by Councillor Lay for counting garages as parking bays and my objection to the further reduction in parking bays. Perhaps you can provide a response to that assessment along with answers to the questions below:

First on the road width. The briefing note states: "The alterations were agreed prior to the lodging of the planning appeal at which all highway issues were agreed between the appellants and the Council and included in a "statement of common ground".

Question 1: I must be reading this wrong surely? The road width prior to the Inquiry was 7.3m it was changed during the Inquiry in a private meeting which explicitly excluded 3rd parties.

Please explain what this statement means in the briefing note.

Briefing note statement: "Therefore the two applications need to comply with this framework"

Question 2: Please tell me which part of the master planning framework described the number of garages / parking bays per household?

Briefing note statement: "waiting restrictions" and "parking will be prohibited" – both these statements mean different things. Double yellow lines restrict parking but do not restrict waiting / loading etc.

Question 3: What form of yellow lines will be used? Will there be any kerb yellow lines prohibiting waiting / loading etc?

Briefing note statement: "As a result Wiltshire Council now generally counts garages towards parking provision where they have internal dimensions of at least 6.0m by 3.0m".

Question 4: Please tell me where I can find this in the Wiltshire Local Transport Plan? If not in that, please tell me where this is documented and when such a document was placed onto the public domain – also where I can find it?

Briefing note statement: "the site has permission for 700 dwellings, already reduced from an original proposal for 800 dwellings and given the space constraints on site..."

Question 5: This implies that Taylor Wimpey CHOSE to build too many dwellings for the size of the land available. Rather than making the developer suffer the consequences of his decision, you seem to be making existing residents suffer by allowing Taylor Wimpey to build fewer parking bays so they can make more money out of a piece of land that is not big enough for the 700 dwellings they wish to build. Please tell me which parts of my statements are inaccurate or in which way I have miss-interpreted the briefing note statement?

Briefing note statement: "As such the parking standards have been complied with and Officers consider that there are no grounds for a refusal of the Phase 1 Residential Reserved Matters Planning application on this basis of the adequacy of parking provision"

Question 6: Please provide me with the documented evidence to support this decision. Attached is my assessment of the justification so far provided by Councillor Lay.

Question 7: Hypothetical family live in a house fronting the spine road. They have yellow lines along the road in front of them. They own three cars and have them all parked end to end. Morning rush hour, the car at the front needs to get out. Where will the other two park while that car is removed?

Question 8: Who will enforce the yellow line restrictions? The police or Wiltshire Council?

Question 9: Disabled badge holders may park on yellow lines – has there been any modelling or predictions on the number of such badge holders that will live in the new development and how many will visit?

Best Regards

Kevin Fisher

Shaw Residents' Association Chair

www.shawresidents.org.uk/

From: [Burman, Lee](#)

Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2013 5:33 PM

To: [Lay, Jacqui](#) ; [Groom, Mollie](#) ; [mike bell](#) ; [Richard Bell](#) ; [Shaw Residents](#) ; clerk@purtonpc.eclipse.co.uk ; [Claire](#) ; avril.roe@ahpix.com ; rosemaryleckie@ymail.com ; charlotterogersjones@cricklade-tc.gov.uk ; jamesgraymp@parliament.uk ; robert.buckland.mp@parliament.uk

Cc: [Taylor, Brian](#) ; [Scott, Jane](#) ; [Sturgis, Toby](#) ; [Cunningham, Alistair](#) ; [Galpin, Paul](#) ; [Witt, Roger](#) ; [Khansari, Parvis](#)

Subject: Ridgeway Farm, Common Platt, Purton

Dear All,

You may or may not know that I am the case officer handling several Reserved Matters Applications at the above mentioned site. The submission and consideration of these applications follows the decision of the Secretary of State for Communities in November 2012 to allow the appeal against the refusal of the Outline Planning Application. These applications now specifically relate to matters of detail in respect of the development permitted.

Both myself as case officer and the Council's Highways Officers as consultees for the applications have received a great many representations and queries in relation to specific aspects of the proposals; and matters of principle which were addressed through the determination of the Outline Application. Rather than trying to respond to all of these representations separately we have together prepared the attached briefing note. We hope that this provides some useful clarification, whilst also responding to the matters and queries being raised. We have tried to keep this succinct and clear and very much hope that you find this helpful.

Kind Regards,

Lee Burman
Area Team Leader (North)
Development Services
Monkton Park
Chippenham
Wiltshire
SN15 1ER
01249 706668

Website: www.wiltshire.gov.uk
Follow Wiltshire Council